1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO		
2	United States Attorney		
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
3	STACEY P. GEIS (CASBN 181444)		
4	JONATHAN SCHMIDT (CASBN 230646) Assistant United States Attornevs		
	Assistant United States Attorneys 450 Golden Gate Ave., 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor		
5	San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 436-7126 (tel)		
6	(415) 436-7234 (fax)		
7	Stacey.Geis@usdoj.gov Jonathan Schmidt@usdoj.gov		
8			
,	RONALD J. TENPAS		
9	Assistant Attorney General   Environment and Natural Resources Division		
10	United States Department of Justice RICHARD A. UDELL		
11	Senior Trial Attorney		
10	Environmental Crimes Section		
12	P.O. Box 23985 L'Enfant Plaza Station		
13	Washington, DC 20004		
14	(202) 305-0361 (tel) (202) 514-8865 (fax)		
	<u>Richard.Udell@usdój.gov</u>		
15	Attorneys the United States of America		
16			
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
20			
21			
	\{\begin{align*} \chi & \text{N}		
22	In Re Material Witness Warrants  Case No. 07 90552 MISC VRW (JCS)  CR 08-0160 SI		
23	\( \) UNITED STATES MOTION AND		
24	Proposed   ORDER RE: DEPOSITION   OF MATERIAL WITNESSES KONG		
25	Proposed] ORDER RE: DEPOSITION OF MATERIAL WITNESSES KONG XIANG HU, SHUN BIAO ZHAO, AND LIANG XIAN ZHENG		
26	\(\)		
27	<b>\</b>		
28	)		

## I. Background

Defendant John Cota is a San Francisco Bar Pilot. While sailing through the San Francisco Bay, large ships are required to have a licensed pilot. The majority of these pilots are San Francisco Bar Pilots. To maintain their pilot's license, pilots are required to take an annual physical.

Captain Cota was the pilot on the *M/V Cosco Busan* on November 7, 2007, when the ship hit a tower of the Bay Bridge causing over 50,000 gallons of bunker fuel to spill into the Bay, which led to the death of approximately 2,000 migratory birds.

An indictment filed on April 22, 2008, charged Captain Cota with failing to disclose all of his current medications on his annual physical form in 2006 and 2007, and with being negligent when he piloted the *Cosco Busan* on November 7, 2007.

The crew of the *Cosco Busan* are Chinese nationals. The ship's master and five crew members – Mao Cai Sun, Kong Xian Hu, Zong Bin Li, Hong Zhi Wang, Liang Xian Zheng, and, Shun Biao Zhao – are currently detained in the United States pursuant to Title 18 United States Code Sections 3142 and 3144 as material witnesses. The witnesses have remained in the United States since November 7, 2007. They have been formally held in the United States as material witnesses since January 3, 2008. They have never been in custody. Currently, the Master of the *Cosco Busan* and five crew members are being housed in San Francisco, and are restricted to the Northern California. Their food, lodging, and salary are being paid by the owner and operator of the *Cosco Busan*, pursuant to a surety agreement negotiated with the Coast Guard that expires on June 16, 2008.

Judge Susan Illston has designated Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero to handle the material witness issue. See 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(A).

On March 31, 2008, Captain Sun moved for release or, in the alternative, depositions pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The other material witnesses joined that motion. Neither the government nor the defendant opposed the motion with respect to the request for Rule 15 depositions.

On May 1, 2008, at a hearing on Captain Sun's motion, Judge Spero ordered that the Rule 15 depositions should proceed during the last week of May and the first week of June with regard to the three material witnesses that were not on the bridge on the day of the casualty. These three witnesses are: Chief Officer Kong Xiang Hu, Second Officer Shun Biao Zhao, and Bosun Liang Xiang Zheng. All three witnesses are represented by Jonathan Howden. At a May 19, 2008, hearing Judge Spero ordered that the Rule 15 depositions should proceed for the remaining three witness on August 11, 2008. These three witnesses are Mao Cai Sun, Zong Bin Li, and Hong Zhi Wang.

The parties have scheduled the depositions for the first three witnesses for May 29, May 30, June 2, June 3, June 4 and June 6 of 2008.

## II. Argument

A court may order Rule 15 depositions either on a motion of the parties, or the motion of a detained material witness. Specifically Federal Rule of Procedure Rule 15(a) provides:

- (1) In General. A party may move that a prospective witness be deposed in order to preserve testimony for trial. The court may grant the motion because of exceptional circumstances and in the interest of justice. If the court orders the deposition to be taken, it may also require the deponent to produce at the deposition any designated material that is not privileged, including any book, paper, document, record, recording, or data.
- (2) Detained Material Witness. A witness who is detained under 18 U.S.C. § 3144 may request to be deposed by filing a written motion and giving notice to the parties. The court may then order that the deposition be taken and may discharge the witness after the witness has signed under oath the deposition transcript.

The Court's May 1, 2008, Order was based on Captain Sun's motion and pursuant to Rule 15(a)(2)—Detained Material Witnesses. The United States does not object to that Order but notes that Rule 15(a)(2) applies to witnesses who are detained. Here the witnesses are not in custody.

Accordingly, in an abundance of caution, the United States formally moves under FRCP 15 (a)(1) to depose Chief Officer Kong Xiang Hu, Second Officer Shun Biao Zhao, and Bosun Liang Xiang Zheng starting on May 29, 2008.

Rule 15(a) allows the district court broad discretion in deciding whether to order

depositions in a criminal case. *United States v. Olafson*, 213 F3d 435, 442 (9th Cir. 2000). In the Ninth Circuit, "Rule 15(a) does not require any conclusive showing of "unavailability" or "material testimony" before a deposition can be taken in a criminal case. Rule 15(a) only requires that the trial court find that due to exceptional circumstances it is in the interest of justice that the testimony of a prospective witness be taken and preserved for possible use at trial. Fed.R.Crim.P. 15(a)." *United States v. Omene*, 143 F.3d, 1167, 1170 (9th Cir. 1998) Generally, court's have found exceptional circumstances and interest of justice when a material witness "may be unable to attend trial." *United States v. Cutler*, 806 F.2d 933, 936 (9th Cir.1986); *United States v. Zuno-Arce*, 44 F.3d 1420, 1425 (9th Cir.1995); *United States v. Lai Fa Chen*, 214 F.R.D. 578 (N.D. Cal 2003).

Here there are exceptional circumstances and it is in the interest of justice that these depositions proceed. As argued in the material witnesses' Motion, the material witnesses have been in the United States since November 7, 2007, and their continued detention in the United States imposes a hardship. The witnesses are separated from their home country, removed from their families, and unable to work. However, if allowed to return to China, the witnesses may be unavailable for trial, both because they will be beyond the subpoena power of the Court and because they are seamen who are on the high seas several months of the year.

These three witnesses' testimony is material and significant to determining whether Captain Cota acted negligently on November 7, 2007. Witness Zhao plotted the ship's course that morning. Witnesses Hu, Zhao, and Zheng were lookouts that day. And witness Hu was the ship's chief officer responsible for supervising the ship's bridge crew.

## III. Conclusion

Due to exceptional circumstances, it is in the interest of justice that testimony of witnesses Hu, Zhao and Zheng be taken and preserved for possible use at trial.

Accordingly, the United States respectfully requests that the Court's order their

1	depositions proceed as scheduled.	
2		Respectfully submitted,
3	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	RONALD J. TENPAS
4	United States Attorney BRIAN J. STRETCH	Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources
5	Chief, Criminal Division	Division United States Department of Justice
6		
7	By: S/S JONATHAN SCHMIDT	By: <u>S/S</u> RICHARD A. UDELL
8	Assistant United States Attorney	RICHARD A. UDELL Senior Trial Attorney Environmental Crimes Section
9		Environmental Crimes Section
10	DATED: May 21, 2008	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Document 53

Filed 05/21/2008

Page 6 of 7

Case 3:07-xr-90552-VRW

CR 08 160-SI) filed replies to the material witnesses' motion. A hearing was held on the

28

matter on May 5, 2008 and May 12, 2008.

On May 21, 2008, the United States filed a motion pursuant to FRE Rule 15(a)(1) requesting that material witnesses Kong Xiang Hu, Shun Biao Zhao, and Liang Xian Zheng be deposed arguing that due to exceptional circumstances it is in the interest of justice that these witnesses testimony be preserved.

Having considered the matter, the Court finds that there are exceptional circumstances and it is in the interest of justice that testimony of witnesses Hu, Zhao, and Zheng be taken and preserved for possible use at trial and hereby orders that pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure Rules 15 (a)(1) and 15(a)(2) the depositions of Material Witnesses Kong Xiang Hu, Shun Biao Zhao, and Liang Xian Zheng be taken starting on May 29, 2008, until they are completed.

United States Magistrate Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:\_\_\_\_\_\_
JOSEPH C. SPERO